



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/749,725	12/28/2000	James S. Burns	2207/10120	6772
23838	7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON &			O'BRIEN, BARRY J	
	ET, N.W., SUITE 700 DN, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/749,725	BURNS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barry J. O'Brien	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which the contract which are the contract	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application i	n
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension for the final Office action; or (2) as se	ee under et forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amer	idment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		•	l
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		_	
<del></del>			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the independent claims constitute a change in scope. The proposed amendments further include the limitation of "centralized dispersal logic" which was not recited in any previous versions of the claims. Thus, the proposed amendments include a change in scope that would require further search and consideration.

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100